

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-6 are pending in this application. Claim 1 is in independent form. It is gratefully acknowledged that the Examiner found allowable subject matter in Claim 4.

The Examiner rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for indefiniteness. The Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,800,071 to McConnell et al. (hereinafter *McConnell*). Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), the Examiner rejected Claim 2 as being unpatentable over McConnell, Claim 5 as being unpatentable over *McConnell* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,572,585 to *Choi*, and Claim 6 as being unpatentable over *McConnell* in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,773,900 to *Cochran*.

Regarding the §112, second paragraph rejection, it was alleged that “into the injector receiving space of the rear end of syringe” in lines 13-14 of Claim 1 is indefinite. To cure this matter, it is respectfully noted that “of” (first recitation) has been changed to --at--, as shown herein. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the §112, second paragraph rejection has been overcome, and withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

Regarding the §102 rejection of Claims 1 and 3, the Examiner alleged that *McConnell* teaches each and every element recited in Claims 1 and 3. Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, a rotary shaft having a non-circular section and a predetermined length. The Examiner cited 501 in FIG. 5 as meeting this recitation, but 501 and every other element shown in FIG. 5 illustrates a tubular shaft, and fails to illustrate any non-circular section, as claimed. Neither does the description related to FIG. 5 provide any teaching of a non-circular section of the rotary shaft, or a non-circular coupling hole coupled with the rotary shaft, as recited *inter alia* in Claim 1.

Claim 1 also recites, *inter alia*, a hollow cylindrical type push plate case inserted into the injector receiving space of the read end of the syringe. The rear end of the syringe 406 in *McConnell* does not appear to have inserted therein a hollow cylindrical type push plate case, as in Claim 1. Moreover, the drive screw 404 in *McConnell*, alleged as reading on the female screw in Claim 1, includes a screw on the outer surface, and fails to include a female screw formed on the inner circumferential surface thereof, as in Claim 1.

For at least these reasons, it is respectfully submitted that *McConnell* fails to teach each and every element recited in Claims 1 and 3, and the rejection should be withdrawn. Withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

Regarding the §103(a) rejections of Claims 2 and 5-6, while not conceding the patentability of the dependent claims, *per se*, it is respectfully asserted that Claims 2 and 5-6 are also patentable for at least the above reasons. Accordingly, and since the secondary references fail to cure the stated deficiencies in *McConnell*, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn. Withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-6, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicants

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, LLP
290 Broadhollow Road, Suite 210 E
Melville, New York 11747
Tel: (516) 228-3565
Fax: (516) 228-8475
PJF/RCC/dsf